Hello, I'm writing because of how upset I was to hear that Sinclair Broadcasting made a decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election.

I am not a lawyer, but from what I understand, this is unlawful and agree with otheres that this is an example of the dangers of media consolidation.

My understanding is that Sinclair is obligated by law to serve the public interest and uses the public airwaves free of charge. When large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy.

Personally, I'd like to see more important shows with real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

In MY opinion, Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. These actions also show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard!

Thank you.